

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,840	09/19/2003		Lorna Anne Everall	CQ10105	. 6046
25693	7590	06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON &	& KENYO	N (SAN JOSE)	NGUYEN, TU T		
333 WEST SAN CARLOS ST. SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,	CA 95110)		2877	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ax
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-7/-
Office Action Summary	10/665,840	EVERALL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
TI - MAII INO DATE - 641:	Tu T. Nguyen	2877	ducas
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ad	aress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature that the period for reply will be statuted by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, m ply within the statutory minimum d will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>j.</i> ommunication.
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 in 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal (·	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected e drawing(s) be held in ab ction is required if the dra	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received onty documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) Notic	iew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTC :	D-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The response submitted on 03/01/2005 has been considered. Upon examining the application, it is found that the application imposes serious burden to examiner because claims 1-20 directs to several different species. To ensure quality service for the applicant own benefit, it is determined that a restriction should be issued. The applicant is requested to elect a single invention as follow:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Fig 1(a) directed to a first embodiment.

Species II: Fig 4 directed to an optical interrogation system having a pulsed optical source.

Species III: Fig 5 directed to an optical interrogation system having a multiple SOA source.

Species IV: Fig 6 directed to an optical interrogation system having a filter.

Species V: Fig 7 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,840

Art Unit: 2877

Species VI: Fig 9 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD and having a pulsed optical source.

Species VII: Fig 11 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD and having a filter.

Species VIII: Fig 12 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD and having a CFBG.

Species IX: Fig 15 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD and having a wavelength evaluation unit located before the CFBG.

Species X: Fig 18 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD and having a pulsed source and a CFBG.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 77. The fax

Art Unit: 2877

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2877

05/28/2005